

To: Linden, melissa[linden.melissa@epa.gov]
From: Kelly, Jack (R3 Phila.)
Sent: Fri 1/17/2014 12:34:09 AM
Subject: Re: critical error on EPAOSC website for Elk River spill

Ok. Good.and good idea about making the reference private. Will check it tomorrow and seek help if needed.

From: Linden, melissa
Sent: Thursday, January 16, 2014 7:31:41 PM
To: Kelly, Jack (R3 Phila.)
Subject: Re: critical error on EPAOSC website for Elk River spill

Don't be sorry. Your email made me giggle it was appreciated, since I agree.

From: Kelly, Jack (R3 Phila.)
Sent: Thursday, January 16, 2014 7:29:11 PM
To: Linden, melissa
Subject: Re: critical error on EPAOSC website for Elk River spill

Melissa,
Sorry. Long day for me and this man seems to be a bit too critical. I will be nice tomorrow.

From: Linden, melissa
Sent: Thursday, January 16, 2014 7:15:18 PM
To: Kelly, Jack (R3 Phila.)
Subject: Fw: critical error on EPAOSC website for Elk River spill

Hi Jack, since you are the environmental unit leader could you see if ATSDR or water contacts would have answers to the questions below.

Thanks!

From: [REDACTED] Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy gmail.com> on behalf of Albert Donnay, MHS <adonnay@jhu.edu>
Sent: Thursday, January 16, 2014 5:46:04 PM
To: Matlock, Dennis; Linden, melissa
Cc: kward@wvgazette.com; david.gutman@wvgazette.com; Bryan Bumgardner
Subject: critical error on EPAOSC website for Elk River spill

I'm writing to alert you that the [website](#) put up by EPA OSC for the WV spill incorrectly includes 2 links for information on Methylcyclohexane.

Unfortunately, this is not the chemical involved in the spill. It's actually a mixture containing 4-Methylcyclohexane Methanol, as your site correctly reports in the adjacent text.

Please remove these links ASAP -- their posting suggests either that EPA is deliberately trying to

mislead the public or that its own staff are misled.

Please also tell me who at EPA was responsible for coordinating with CDC on the decision to approve a 1ppm for human consumption, and if you know whether it is EPA's intent that this limit to apply to the level of pure 4-MCHM or to level of "crude" 4-MCHM that actually spilled.

I'm also curious to know why EPA did not insist on setting an oral reference dose as it does for other drinking water contaminants instead of only setting a concentration limit. Is EPA really not concerned about the total amount of water containing 4-MCHM that people may drink per day?

Thank you.

Albert Donnay MHS
Consulting Toxicologist, CO Analyst
and Environmental Health Engineer
adonnay@jhu.edu
410-889-6666

News Releases References Web Mapping (GIS)	Description	Category
	NIOSH International Format Methylcyclohexane	References
	http://www.cdc.gov/niosh/ipeshere/hong0923.html	
	NIOSH Pocket Guide on Methylcyclohexane	References
	http://www.cdc.gov/niosh/npg/npgd0706.html	